



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 52 No. 44

4th November 2016

IN THIS ISSUE

Rigging by “Demographic Conquest” by James Reed	1
Rigging by Deception: The Gun Control Drive, Australia by John Steele	2
Section 18 C: The Corruption of the Rule of Law by Ian Wilson LL.B.	2
From Recognition to Racial Separatism: Neo-Apartheidism by Ian Wilson LL.B.	3
Students Obviously Need to Learn about Gay Sex, Not Mathematics! by Mrs Vera West	4

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK:

IF ALL ELSE FAILS: VIOLENCE, THE WAY OF THE GLOBALIST GANGSTERS by Paul Walker

The US election campaign is instructive to us in Australia for many things. One notable one is that the globalist elite are quite willing to use violence to achieve their goals, even against fellow Americans.

The firebombing of a North Carolina Republican Party office, with the spray-painted message: “Nazi Republicans, leave town or else,” is one example.

Scott Foval, who used to work for the George Soros-funded *People for the American Way*, has been caught on video bragging about how homeless and mentally ill (“naturally psychotic”) individuals were paid to disrupt Trump rallies. Paid agitators staged a violent protest in Chicago in March forcing Trump to cancel a rally: <http://nypost.com/2016/10/18/trump-rally-disrupted-was-once-on-clinton-campaign-payroll/>.

In Australia, socialist thugs can beat people up, destroy property, but seldom get arrested.
If this isn't a conspiracy, then as Bart Simpson says, I'll eat my shorts!

RIGGING BY “DEMOGRAPHIC CONQUEST” by James Reed

In Britain, Blair's Labour used mass migration to “rub multiculturalism” into the nose of conservatives. In Australia mass migration has been used to political advantage with ethnic groups favouring one or other party being represented over the years in our so-called “non-discriminatory” immigration policy. There have been scandals of ethnic vote stacking.

And in the United States, illegal Hispanic immigration supplies millions of illegal voters for the Democrats.

As Steve Sailer says at Taki Mag.com, October 19, 2016:

“Democrats and their auxiliaries in the media routinely boast of their dream of turning America into a one-party state through changing who gets to vote in American elections... To protest, or even to notice the open machinations to adulterate the value of your vote by importing millions of foreigners to increase the numbers of votes cast for the Democrats, brands you as a deplorable.”

In other words, change “We, the People” into a one state dictatorship. Simply elect a new people. That is also occurring in Europe. Recently leading French intellectual Philippe de Villiers proclaimed that “we are faced with demographic conquest.” (Gates of Vienna.net, October 22, 2016)

He has published a book entitled *Le moment est venu de dire ce que j'ai vu* (The Time Has Come to Tell What I Have Seen), which “denounces a rotted political world.”

In an interview with Charlotte d'Ornellas of Boulevard Voltaire, he attacked the globalists and others promoting “high treason” to create the “compulsive consumer.” To do this the family, nation and borders had to be destroyed.

Resistance, de Villiers said, requires that people become dissidents. He said that Solzhenitsyn said to him: “You Europeans are in an eclipse of intelligence. You are going to suffer. The abyss is deep. You are sick. You have the sickness of the void.” However: “The abyss will open to the light, tiny sparks will shimmer in the night, far away... Today the dissidents are in the East, they will move to the west.”

Perhaps that explains Hillary Clinton's hawkish attitude to Russia which is pushing the world to the brink of nuclear war.

RIGGING BY DECEPTION: THE GUN CONTROL DRIVE, AUSTRALIA by John Steele

The gun control debate recently resurfaced over the issue of the import ban of the **Adler A110 lever action shotgun**, which has become the latest hate symbol of the castrating gun control lobby.

Turnbull and Abbott had a tiff about whether horse-trading had taken place to get NSW senator David Leyonhjelm's vote. Leyonhjelm said that the federal government backed out of a written agreement to trade his vote on other legislation in exchange for an end to the Adler prohibition and he claims being deceived by the Coalition. Yet more "rigging".

Tony Abbott denied that any citizens outside of those protecting pollies, such as law enforcement, require a rapid-fire gun, and said the idea was just "crackers," especially with terrorists out there. (*The Australian*, October 20, 2016, p. 4) That is a good reason why Abbott lost his position as prime minister because he doesn't act and think like a classical liberal, but is more of the mold of Hillary Clinton. It should not be the role of politicians to tell people what they need or don't need; that is socialism, not liberalism. He denied that there had been a deal with Leyonhjelm; time to produce the written agreement David, or maybe Wikileaks should turn its attention to Australian politics! Time for Abbott to leave parliament; Malcolm next.

The idea of Abbott and the gun controllers that the Adler is a national security threat is "crackers," to use Tony's turn of phrase. There will be a nationwide gun amnesty next year to deal with about 260,000 illegal guns circulating in Australia. This is pretty silly or just propaganda because these guns are in the hands of criminals and ethnic gangs: *Breitbart.com*, October 22, 2016.

SECTION 18 C: THE CORRUPTION OF THE RULE OF LAW by Ian Wilson LL.B.

I have been criticising section 18 C of the Race Discrimination Act for many years now. I have read much on the topic and have yet to see any sound intellectual defences of it; it remains in the domain of ethnic power politics. The best legal and journalistic minds have torn its ideological foundations to pieces, but like the "walking dead," the law remains spreading a plague of misery. We have seen increasingly absurd consequences of this suppression of free speech, from the university students case, now to the cartoon case of Bill Leak, which by the way, has been said to be an accurate portrayal of what police face, according to the Western Australian Police Commissioner. (*The Australian*, October 21, 2016, p. 1)

Canadian intellectual Mark Steyn (*The Australian*, October 19, 2016, p. 11), has said about our section 18 C: "To me, that disgusting "hate speech" ersatz-law is an utter embarrassment to a supposedly free society; to Abbott's ministry, free speech was merely an "unnecessary complication."

The Age (<http://www.theage.com/interactive/2016/gun-city/day1.html>), had an article "Young, Dumb and Armed" on gang/gun violence. "Gun controlled" Melbourne has had one shooting a week on average since January 2015.

The Age notes: "Crimes associated with firearm possession have also more than doubled, driven by the easy availability of handguns, semi-automatic rifles, shotguns and increasingly machine guns, that are smuggled into the country or stolen from licensed owners." Thus, "criminals are now better armed than at any time since then-Prime Minister John Howard introduced a nationwide firearm buyback scheme in response to the 1996 Port Arthur massacre."

Known criminals were caught with firearms 755 times in 2015, compared to 143 times in 2011. Justice Minister Michael Keenan admitted: "While Australia has some of the strongest firearm controls in the world, illicit firearms remain the weapon of choice for criminals."

Don't expect to find any exploration of this on the hysterical TV news programs.

It is clear that Australia's gun control problem has arisen from the rise of the power of ethnic crime gangs.

An amnesty is going to do nothing because guns are the tools of trade in the world of drugs and the "ice" epidemic. Taking guns away of citizens is just going to make it easier for these new warlords to rule the streets. But that was probably the plan behind citizen gun-banning anyway: to create a passive, defenceless population of soft bunny rabbits, not democratic citizens. People will eventually fully accept the creation of the police state rule by the New World Order.

And he did not hold back on Malcolm Turnbull's refusal to defend free speech either: "The tinny sound of a hollow pseudo-technocrat unmoored from the core principles of liberty."

Steyn quotes Theodore Dalrymple, who said on the suppression laws: "Unfortunately, political correctness, which is to thought what sentimentality is to compassion, means that the intelligentsia of the West has disarmed itself in advance of any possible struggle." Section 18 C means that one cannot address race and ethnic issues. The Human Rights industry "**are not interested in a debate with you; they're interested in eliminating you from the debate, banishing you from public discourse, and shriveling that discourse to the ever tighter bounds of a state ideology.**"

Emperor Obama and Queen Clinton want to continue this assault on free speech through the control of the internet, rebuilding it to "flow" through "some sort of curating function," which presumably will allow the elites to establish Chinese-style censorship. (*continued on next page*)

(continued from previous page)

Hillary Clinton as president will end what is left of “free speech.”

Thus we face a crisis of Western civilisation which is now at the turning point. Section 18 C is our local symbol of what is actually a turning away from liberal democratic culture, towards a form of globalist communism, inspired by Chinese communist ideology, typically under old Chairman Mao (“where do correct ideas come from”). The “Party” has become a network of taxpayer-funded elites who use “the law” to suppress opinions critical of their New World Order globalist ideology.

Professor James Allen (*The Australian*, October 20, 2016, p. 12), says that the cartoon case “sounds like something out of George Orwell’s *Nineteen Eighty-Four* or something that would happen in (Soviet-ed) Russia, not in one of the leading and oldest democracies.”

FROM RECOGNITION TO RACIAL SEPARATISM: NEO-APARTHEIDISM by Ian Wilson LL.B.

Apart from the philosophical implications of section 18 C, from a legal perspective, I am concerned about the consequences of a successful “Yes” vote from the constitutional recognition of Aborigines. This issue is pushed as a big social justice issue, like same sex marriage, and dissidents are slammed for disagreeing. Probably discrimination law will be used to silence any critics, because that is the real reason the elites put it in place.

Thus it is good to see social critic Keith Windschuttle speaking up. (*The Weekend Australian*, October 22-23, 2016, p. 20), saying: “Australia as we know it will not survive the agenda of those seeking greater autonomy.”

The aim of many, if not most in the Aboriginal movement, is not to make the constitution complete, but to “get their country back,” and he quotes the title of a recent book on this, *It’s Our Country*, to illustrate the thought processes. The aim is political autonomy and sovereignty, traditional law in their own separate nation. It is not explained how all of this fits in with multiculturalism and Asianisation, but no doubt, as I see it, China will work all of this out for “us” in the future.

The Aboriginalists argue that Aboriginal sovereignty was never extinguished because they signed no treaty and were never conquered, so “as the first landowners they remain the continent’s sovereign people.”

The issue of sovereignty arose in many submissions to the Gillard government’s expert panel on constitutional recognition and the “sovereignty status” issue is held by numerous Aboriginal organisations and communities, Windschuttle says. He quotes leading Aboriginalists who support the sovereignty position and support a separate Aboriginal nation, “local indigenous sovereignty.”

That way the Aboriginal state won’t have to worry about

As I see it, that’s the point behind this: democracy has essentially ended in Australia, slaughtered on the altar of ethnic-multicult power. So, yes, the system is “rigged” and rotten to the core. People need to understand this grim reality, especially red, white and blue conservatives, who still believe that there is “something there.” There is a fragile shell, but the elites have already dismantled the internal meat of the social organism. “Our” governments and institutions such as the universities, are all “occupied territory,” having been taken over by a globalist new class elite, who care nothing about our freedoms and traditions, and indeed, seek to demographically replace us. Section 18 C serves to keep the natives in line, until it is too late. Even so, hopefully the revolt against the elites that is happening in America will produce a “Western Spring,” that will include Australia.

putting together a defence force, because it could enjoy such benefits, presumably including social welfare and health care, from Australia, while having the relative autonomy of a state of their own.

Windschuttle quotes a 2011 survey by the National Congress of Australia’s First Peoples that found that 88 per cent of members believed that constitutional recognition and Aboriginal sovereignty was a top priority.

So where will the new Aboriginal nation be? Apparently, everywhere, with all existing Aboriginal clan associations and language groups being “first nations.” All existing native title claims will be fast tracked and accepted. That is, a total of 851,654 square kilometres of Australia, with, Windschuttle notes, native title existing non-exclusively over another 1,488,237 square kilometres of land: 2,339,890 square kilometres of land or 30.4 per cent of the entire country!!! He notes that claims not yet determined could add another 31.7 per cent of Australia to that, over 60 percent of Australia.

As I see it, if Australia is to break up, then Anglo-Australia should have its own ethno-state, primarily of traditionalist people. Let the trendies form their own state, which soon enough will collapse leading to natural selection sorting things out.

However, in the meantime, it is the duty of all traditionalist to oppose the recognition campaign. It does not matter how old you are, whether you can walk or not. Talking is enough. Try and talk to everybody you know about why they should vote “NO”. Yes, the system is “rigged” and the “Yes” side will, with government blessing and your money, attempt to push this through. So take a stand and fight this!

Make winning this battle the crowning glory in your life. Pick up the phone now and get busy!

STUDENTS OBVIOUSLY NEED TO LEARN ABOUT GAY SEX, NOT MATHEMATICS!

by Mrs Vera West

In terms of the theme of “the system is rigged,” how about these two items of cultural warfare from the gender agenda?

First, the federal government funds a website on student health and wellbeing. That sounds good. But the site “has potentially exposed young people to explicit information about anal sex, gay saunas and searching for sex online.”

(*The Australian*, October 24, 2016, p. 3)

Don't worry, the links have now been removed.

Surely no innocent students, legal minors, would have been curious enough to surf the world of gay sex online? They just would have ignored the links and done extra maths work, wouldn't they?

Not to worry, we can always direct our children to hating men, who, we have been told by another program are “globally and historically, the greatest threat to women”.

(*The Australian*, October 25, 2016, p. 1)

That nonsense is apparently taught in some Western Australian “top schools” by a group running a “respectful relationships education program”.

The slide pushing this point of view was shown at a “Men of Respect” workshop at a Perth high school. A student photographed the slide using his trusty mobile phone and placed it online.

A backlash erupted and the group running the program went into damage control, responding that the sentence had been taken out of context, but was a quote to stimulate debate.

Sure it was; debate whether this program has been hijacked by the gender agenda! After all, the program seeks to redefine manhood around “character rather than sexuality,” which no doubt means that the traditional ideal of manhood will get yet another kick.

That all of these sorts of cultural warfare projects can be forced on our children, bashing men, at taxpayer's expense, is the real acid test of how rotten to the core “our” society has become.

But not all hope is lost; the controversial gender agenda Safe Schools coalition will not be getting another \$ 8 million of federal government funding. (*The Australian*, October 25, 2016, p. 6)

One of the few good things about the coming times of economic hardship, if not economic collapse, is that all this pc nonsense will fall into the “luxury” category and be jettisoned. ***

STEALING FROM A CHILD: THE INJUSTICE OF 'MARRIAGE EQUALITY'

http://www.connorcourt.com/catalog1/index.php?main_page=product_info&products_id=394&zenid=c6p071n9qperln27ucen1ifi77

STEALING FROM A CHILD

THE INJUSTICE OF 'MARRIAGE EQUALITY'

David van Gend

Australians are being asked to accept a breathtakingly subversive redefinition of marriage, parenting, family and gender, with consequences for core liberties and our children's education; yet when we raise concerns we are called 'bigots'.



THE LEAGUE'S WEBSITE: — alor.org
blog.alor.org thecross-roads.org

On Target Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey Subscription \$30.00 p.a.

Subscriptions for ‘On Target’ and ‘NewTimes Survey’ and **Donations** can be performed **by direct bank**

transfer: Bank Transfer Account details are:

BSB 083-004
A/c No. 51-511-5296
A/c Title Victorian League of Rights

contact details emailed to **hub@alor.org**

or by cheques directed to:

‘ALOR Journals’ or ‘ALOR’
c/o Heritage Bookshop,
Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street,
Melbourne, 3000 Victoria
(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001 Victoria)
Phone: (03) 9600 0677

or on the Veritas Books website.

URL: veritasbooks.com.au/subscriptions

All electoral comment authorised by Ken Grundy, Level 9,
Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000 Victoria

“ON TARGET” is printed and published by
The Australian League of Rights,
Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street,
Melbourne, 3000

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001.

Telephone: (03) 9600 0677